

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DAT	E	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/540,103	06/20/2005	5	Rob Anne Beuker	NL02 1478 US	6426
65913 NXP, B.V.	7590	08/08/2007		EXAM	IINER
NXP INTELLI	ECTUAL PROP	AMINI, I	AMINI, JAVID A		
M/S41-SJ 1109 MCKAY	DRIVE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SAN JOSE, CA				2628	
				<u> </u>	
				NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			•	08/08/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ip.department.us@nxp.com

	Application No. Applicant(s)						
Office Action Comments	10/540,103	BEUKER ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Javid A. Amini	2628					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addres	is				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNI 36(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI a, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this commu BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 Ju	une 2005						
	action is non-final.						
<u> </u>	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E		•					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the application							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are rejected.	Claim(s) 1-30 is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc		by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.	.121(d).				
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-1	52.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
 Certified copies of the priority document 	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority document	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio	•	received in this National Stag	ge				
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not	received.					
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) D Notice of	nformal Patent Application					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>6/20/05</u> . 6) Other:							

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3, 7-9, 14-16, 26, 28-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lyu 5,801,777.

Claim 1.

Lyu teaches an apparatus for re-ordering video data for a display (see the abstract), comprising:

(Examiner's interpretation regarding the terms as recited in the claimed invention, "transpose process" and "partially re-ordered". The "transpose process" is a broad term that can be considered as a format conversion process or a first transpose programmable processor, and the "partially re-ordered" is also a broad term that can be considered as a second video frame data or a second transpose programmable processor that composed of reordered and repeated production of a first video frame data, that means the "partially re-ordered" is inherently in the first video frame data.)

Lyu teaches a first transpose means for receiving video data and performing a first transpose process on such video data to create partially re-ordered video data (i.e. noted in col. 4, lines 49-59, also Lyu in fig. 1 as a prior art illustrates a block diagram represents at the left side is corresponded to the first transpose, and at the right side represent the second transpose, also a storage part shown with number 5); Lyu teaches a means for storing the partially re-ordered video data (a storage part shown in fig.1 as number 5); Lyu teaches a second transpose means for reading the partially re-ordered video data and performing a second transpose process on such partially re-ordered video data (i.e. noted in fig. 1 a block diagram represents at the right

side is corresponded to the second transpose), to create fully re-ordered video data (i.e. noted in fig. 1 refs. # 0, 1, and 2).

Claim 2.

Lyu inherently teaches the apparatus as set forth in claim 1 wherein the first and second transpose means include: one or more programmable hardware blocks (i.e. noted in fig. 3 e.g., an inverse DCT converting part).

Claim 3.

Lyu inherently teaches the apparatus as set forth in claim 1 wherein: the first transpose means includes a first programmable processor and the second transpose means includes a second programmable processor, such that the apparatus is programmable for any of a plurality of display formats, see the rejection of claim 1.

Claim 7.

Lyu teaches the apparatus as set forth in claim 3 wherein the first and second processors are programmable to re-order video data for two or more types of displays selected from the group consisting of a transpose scan CRT display, an LCOS device (i.e. noted in col.2 line 23), a PDP, a monochrome DMD, and a color DMD. Examiner's note: for clarity of the claim, Examiner selected LCOS device under the Markush groups.

Claim 8.

Lyu teaches the apparatus as set forth in claim 1, the storing means including: a means for storing at least two consecutive frames of the partially re-ordered video data (i.e. noted in the abstract).

Claim 9.

Claim 9 is rejected with similar reason as set for in claim 1, above.

Claim 14.

Lyu teaches the apparatus as set forth in claim 10, the receiving means including: a means for generating a plurality of sub-fields associated with a frame of the received video data (i.e. noted in fig. 4b frame data contains sub-fields 1, 2, 3, 4, which are associated with a frame), wherein each sub-field includes sub-field video data associated with the received video data (Examiner's note: the frame data in figs. 4 is associated with received video data); and a means for writing the sub-field video data for the plurality of sub-fields to the storing means (i.e. noted in fig. 1) storage part).

Claim 15.

Lyu teaches the apparatus as set forth in claim 14, the generating means including: a means for temporarily storing a predetermined amount of sub-field data that is generated serially (i.e. noted in figs. 4 the frame data), wherein the writing means transfers the predetermined amount of sub-field data from the temporary storing means to the storing means in parallel (i.e. noted in fig. 3 the storage parts 36, 37a, 37b, and 41 are considered as the temporary storage, because the conversion of a frame is necessarily to move to a next step of the conversion).

Claim 16.

Claim 16 is rejected with similar reason as set for in claim 14, above.

Claim 26.

Claim 26 is rejected with similar reason as set for in claim 1, above.

Claim 28.

Claim 28 is rejected with similar reasons as set for in claims 1-3, above.

Claim 29.

Claim 29 is rejected with similar reason as set for in claim 1, above.

Claim 30.

Claim 30 is rejected with similar reason as set for in claim 3, above.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4-6, 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lyu, and in view of Sgrignoli 5,329,319.

Claim 4.

Lyu is silenced about the apparatus as set forth in claim 3 wherein the first and second processors are fabricated on a common substrate (S), however, it is well known in the art the base layer of a structure of a chip is mostly used silicon as a substrate, the claimed invention does not even mention the type of the substrate.

The second cited reference Sgrignoli in fig. 6 illustrates an integrated circuit that is fabricated on a common substrate.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to substitute applicant 's described structure, material, or acts for that described in the prior art reference Sgrignoli, in order to provide an improved television signal receiver.

Claim 5.

Claim 5 is rejected with similar reason as set for in claim 4, above.

Claim 6.

Lyu teaches the apparatus as set fourth in claim 4 wherein the storing means includes a separate IC that is electrically connected with the first and second programmable processors (i.e. noted in fig. 1).

Claim 27.

Claim 27 is rejected with similar reason as set for in claim 4, above.

Claims 10-13, 17-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lyu, and in view of Tajima et al. 5,818,419, hereinafter Tajima.

Claim 10.

Lyu is silenced about the apparatus as set forth in claim 1, wherein the first transpose means includes: a means for receiving RGB video data; a means for writing the RGB video data to the storing means; a means for separating RGB video data into separate R, G, and B video data; and a means for writing the R, G, and B video data to the storing means.

However, Tajima teaches wherein the first transpose means includes: a means for receiving RGB video data; a means for writing the RGB video data to the storing means; a means for separating RGB video data into separate R, G, and B video data; and a means for writing the R, G, and B video data to the storing means (i.e. noted in fig. 1).

Thus, it would have been obvious to a person skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine Tajima into Lyu in order to provide a display device that can increase the number of

conversion tables, which can be stored in a memory as look-up tables, by reducing the capacity of each conversion table, and can thus realize high picture quality.

Claim 11.

Lyu is silenced about the apparatus as set forth in claim 10, the storing means including: a means for storing at least one frame of the RGB video data; a means for storing at least one frame of the R separation video data, at least one frame of the G separation video data, and at least one frame of the B separation video data.

However, Tajima teaches a means for storing at least one frame of the RGB video data; a means for storing at least one frame of the R separation video data, at least one frame of the G separation video data, and at least one frame of the B separation video data (i.e. noted in col. 13, lines 44-55).

Thus, it would have been obvious to a person skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine Tajima into Lyu in order to provide a display device that can increase the number of conversion tables, which can be stored in a memory as look-up tables, by reducing the capacity of each conversion table, and can thus realize high picture quality.

Claim 12.

Tajima teaches the apparatus as set forth in claim 11, the second transpose means including: a means for addressing the RGB video data stored in the storing means; a means for reading the RGB video data stored in the storing means to created fully re-ordered RGB video data (i.e. noted in fig. 37, see sub-frame, and S1 and S2);; a means for communicating the fully re-ordered RGB video data to downstream modules of a display processing system; a means for addressing the R, G, and B separation video data stored in the storing means; a means for

Art Unit: 2628

reading the R, G, and B separation video data stored in the storing means (i.e noted in fig. 26 fully reordered sub-field video data "Qx", and the resultant data Q corresponds to the pixel order on a display, see bridging paragraph in cols. 15-16).; a means for re-ordering the R, G, and B separation video data into fully re-ordered R, G, and B color bar video data having consecutive downwardly scrolling R, G, and B scan lines; and a means for communicating the fully re-ordered R, G, and B color bar video data to downstream modules of a display processing system (i.e noted in fig. 26 fully reordered sub-field video data "Qx", and the resultant data Q corresponds to the pixel order on a display, see bridging paragraph in cols. 15-16).

Claim 13.

Tajima teaches the apparatus as set forth in claim 12, the reading means including: a means for identifying an operational configuration for the receiving means based on a selected display (i.e noted in fig. 26 fully reordered sub-field video data "Qx", and the resultant data Q corresponds to the pixel order on a display, see bridging paragraph in cols. 15-16).

Claim 17.

Lyu is silenced about the apparatus as set forth in claim 16, the reading means including: a means for addressing the sub-field video data for the plurality of sub-fields in the storing means; a means for reading the sub-field video data for the plurality of sub-fields in the storing means to create a fully re-ordered sub-field video data; and a means for communicating the fully re-ordered sub-field video data to downstream modules of a display processing system.

However, Tajima teaches the reading means including: a means for addressing the subfield video data for the plurality of sub-fields in the storing means (i.e. noted in fig. 37, see sub-

Art Unit: 2628

frame, and S1 and S2); a means for reading the sub-field video data for the plurality of sub-fields in the storing means to create a fully re-ordered sub-field video data; and a means for communicating the fully re-ordered sub-field video data to downstream modules of a display processing system (i.e noted in fig. 26 fully reordered sub-field video data "Qx", and the resultant data Q corresponds to the pixel order on a display, see bridging paragraph in cols. 15-16).

Thus, it would have been obvious to a person skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine Tajima into Lyu in order to provide a display device that can increase the number of conversion tables, which can be stored in a memory as look-up tables, by reducing the capacity of each conversion table, and can thus realize high picture quality.

Claim 18.

Claim 19.

Tajima teaches the apparatus as set forth in claim 14 wherein the sub-fields are RGB sub-fields and the sub-field data is RGB sub-field data (i.e. noted in col. 15 lines 41-50)

Tajima teaches the apparatus as set forth in claim 14, the generating means including: a means for temporarily storing a predetermined amount of RGB sub-field data that is generated serially, wherein the writing means transfers the predetermined amount of RGB sub-field data from the temporary storing means to the storing means in parallel (i.e. noted in col. 16 lines 5-9).

Claim 20.

Claim 20 is rejected with similar reason as set for in claim 18, above.

Claim 21.

Claim 21 is rejected with similar reason as set for in claim 17, above.

Art Unit: 2628

Claim 22.

Claim 22 is rejected with similar reason as set for in claim 10, above.

Claim 23.

Claim 23 is rejected with similar reason as set for in claim 10, above.

Claim 24.

Claim 24 is rejected with similar reason as set for in claim 10, above.

Claims 25.

Tajima teaches in the abstract the apparatus as set forth in claim 10, the receiving means including: a means for identifying an operational configuration for the receiving means based on a selected display.

Page 10

Art Unit: 2628

Conclusion

Page 11

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Javid A. Amini whose telephone number is 571-272-7654. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-4pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kee Tung can be reached on 571-272-7794. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Javid A Amini Examiner Art Unit 2628

J.A.

Awid A